



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

done to the doctrine of similar triangles and the science of trigonometry is too evident to require any comment."

---

**Two hundred and ninety-fifth Meeting.**

May 4, 1847. — MONTHLY MEETING.

The VICE-PRESIDENT in the chair.

Professor Peirce announced that he had continued and nearly completed his researches into the irregularities of motion exhibited by Uranus, and was more strongly than ever of the opinion that they were not to be attributed to the influence of the newly discovered planet Neptune. He had obtained several possible solutions of the problem, which are different from those of Leverrier and Adams, and which are published in a communication to the *Boston Courier*, dated April 29, 1847, and which he now proposes to lay before the Academy.

"The problem of the perturbations of Uranus admits of three solutions, which are decidedly different from each other, and from those of Leverrier and Adams, and equally complete with theirs. The present place of the theoretical planet, which might have caused the observed irregularities in the motions of Uranus, would, in two of them, be about *one hundred and twenty degrees* from that of Neptune, the one being behind, and the other before, this planet. If the above geometers had fallen upon either of these solutions instead of that which was obtained, Neptune would not have been discovered in consequence of geometrical prediction. The following are the approximate elements for the three solutions at the epoch of Jan. 1, 1847.

	I.	II.	III.
Mean Longitude, . . .	319°	79°	199°
Longitude of Perihelion, . . .	148	219	188
Eccentricity, . . .	0.12	0.07	0.16

In each of them (the mass of the sun being unity)

The mass is . . . . . 0.0001187

"The period of sidereal revolution is double that of Uranus. It will be observed that the mean distance in all these cases is the same with that of Neptune, and that, in the first\* of them, the present direction

\* The first of these solutions is corrected from the one which was published in

is not more than seven degrees from it; and in another solution which I have obtained, the present direction is almost identical with Neptune's. But the coincidence fails in a most important point; for, whereas Walker and Adams both demonstrate, from incontrovertible data and a simple but indisputable argument, that the new planet cannot be more than ninety degrees from its perihelion, either of these two latter geometrical planets would now be in aphelion and at much too great a distance from the sun.

"All my attempts to reconcile the observed motions of Neptune with the assumption that it is the principal source of the unexplained irregularities in the motions of Uranus, have been frustrated. Whatever orbit is attributed to this planet in my analysis, whether Walker's, or Valtz's, or Encke's, or Adams's, or any other which I can suppose, and which is not unquestionably irreconcilable with observation, and whatever may be supposed to be its mass, I cannot materially diminish the amount of residual perturbation, but leave it full as great as it was previously to Galle's discovery. Notwithstanding my repeated examinations, it would be presumptuous in me to claim for my investigations a freedom from error which the greatest geometers have not escaped, especially in the face of the vastly improbable conclusion to which my analysis tends; namely, that the influence of the new planet is wholly different from that demanded by the problem whose solution led to its discovery. It may, however, be asked whether the attraction of Uranus might not be exhibited in the motions of Neptune, in such a way as to modify the orbit deduced from observation, and thus reconcile it with theory; but this question cannot be answered without further investigation."

Professor Peirce stated that the above solutions were not to be regarded as actual solutions, but merely as theoretical and possible; that is, if a planet had moved in either of the above orbits, the perturbations which it would have produced in Uranus would have been precisely those which have been manifested. But the influence of the planet Neptune has been wholly disregarded in obtaining these solutions, precisely because the nature of that influence must remain unknown,

a previous communication to the Boston Courier, and which was vitiated by an oversight in the date for which the computations had been made.

until the mass and orbit of this planet have been determined with accuracy. Mr. Sears C. Walker, of Washington, is actively engaged in computing the orbit of Neptune, and has sent an account of his results in a letter, from which the following is an extract.

“*Washington, D. C., May 3d, 1847.*”

“After computing my Elements IV. of the planet Neptune, I compared with an ephemeris derived from them one hundred and thirteen American and three hundred and sixty-six European observations, being the entire series extant to this date.

“From this collection of observations I have derived thirteen normal places, which gave me thirteen conditional equations for correcting Elements IV., which were a slight modification of Elements II. of my former letter.

“In computing the conditional equations I used the method sketched out in my former letter. As this application of the method of mechanical quadratures to the formation of conditional equations for correcting an approximate orbit is new, I will give a brief statement of it. The conditional equation is,

$$0 = ax + by + cz + du + ev + \&c. + n.$$

Where

$$a = (t - 1846 \text{ years}, 340 \text{ days}).$$

$$b = 1.$$

$$c = \left(\frac{d\omega}{dr}\right).$$

$$d = \left(ac - \frac{2n_0}{r_0} A^{(a)}\right).$$

$$e = \left(a^2c - \frac{2n_0}{r_0} B^{(a)}\right).$$

Numerical term . . .  $n = (\omega^{(a)} - \omega'^{(a)}) = (\text{computed} - \text{observed})$   
true orbital longitude.

Also,  $n_0 =$  the assumed true daily sidereal angular motion for  $a = 0$ .

$\omega_0 =$  the assumed true orbital longitude for  $a = 0$ .

$r_0 =$  the assumed radius vector . . . for  $a = 0$ .

$x, y,$  and  $z = \Delta n_0, \Delta \omega_0,$  and  $\Delta r_0 =$  the required corrections for  $a = 0$ .

$\omega'^{(a)} =$  value of  $\omega$ , from normal place, for date  $t$ , using  $r = r_0$ .

$\omega^{(a)} = \omega_0 + a n_0$ .

$r^{(a)} = r_0 + z + au + a^2v + \&c. =$  corrected radius vector  
for date  $t$ .

$K^2 = (r_0 + z)^2 (n_0 + x) = \text{Neptune's daily area.}$   
 $n^{(a)} = K^2 (r^{(a)})^{-2} = \text{value of } n \text{ for date } t \text{ for conserva-}$   
 tion of areas.

$A^{(t)}, B^{(t)}, \&c. = \text{the part of the coefficients of } u, v, \text{ introduced by the}$   
 quadratures.

“These coefficients were computed from Laplace’s formula (*Mec. Cel.*, Vol. V.), viz. : —

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{a=0}^a n \, dt = & + \frac{1}{2} n^{(0)} + n^{(1)} + n^{(2)} + \&c. + n^{(a-1)} + \frac{1}{2} n^{(a)} \\ & - \frac{1}{12} (\Delta n^{(a-1)} - \Delta n^{(0)}) \\ & - \frac{1}{24} (\Delta^2 n^{(a-2)} - \Delta^2 n^{(0)}) \\ & - \frac{1}{72} (\Delta^3 n^{(a-3)} - \Delta^3 n^{(0)}) \\ & - \frac{1}{160} (\Delta^4 n^{(a-4)} - \Delta^4 n^{(0)}) \\ & - \&c. \end{aligned}$$

“The solution of the thirteen equations of condition by least squares gave for Elements V., —

Longitude of the Perihelion,  $\pi = 1^\circ 45' 32''.90$  m. eq. Jan. 1, 1841.

Ascending Node, . . .  $\Omega = 129^\circ 51' 13''.53$

Inclination, . . . . .  $i = 1^\circ 45' 38''.10$

Eccentricity, . . . . .  $e = 0.00505292$

Mean distance, . . . . .  $a = 30.145119$

Epoch, Jan. 1st, 1847, . . .  $M = 326^\circ 2' 1''.34$  ..... for mean noon at

Mean daily sidereal motion,  $\mu = 21''.437843$  [Greenwich.

Period in tropical years, . . .  $T = 165.51330$ .

“The ephemeris from Elements V. in order to agree with the thirteen normal places requires the following corrections of the geocentric longitude and latitude.

Date.	Observation — Theory $\Delta \alpha.$	Observation — Theory $\Delta \delta.$
1846, Aug. 9,	— 0.22	— 0.72
Sept. 28,	+ 0.08	+ 0.37
Oct. 8,	+ 0.17	+ 0.28
18,	— 0.06	+ 0.09
28,	— 0.20	+ 0.71
Nov. 7,	— 0.13	— 0.42
17,	+ 0.25	— 0.27
27,	— 0.25	— 0.05
Dec. 7,	— 0.49	— 0.08
17,	+ 0.29	+ 0.25
27,	+ 0.14	+ 0.83
1847, Jan. 16,	+ 0.35	— 0.93
April 6,	— 0.10	+ 0.65

"I do not recollect a closer agreement of an orbit with actual observation. Accordingly I regard Elements V. as the present disturbed elements of Neptune.

"You will notice that the values of  $e$  and  $\pi$  came out nearly the same as those of Elements III., required by the hypothesis of identity of the planet and missing star of the *Histoire Céleste*, May 10th, 1795. The node and inclination are so connected together at present that (very nearly) ten times the increase of the latter applied to the former leaves the geocentric place unchanged.

"Let us make the hypothetical Elements VI. by applying to (V.) the corrections  $\Delta \Omega = +8''.50$ , and  $\Delta i = +84''.8$ , and let us suppose that the term  $\frac{1}{t} \Sigma \delta (n t)$  has increased  $0''.03422$  in the last fifty-two years; then Elements VI. will represent the last nine months' observations, and place the star and planet together May 10th, 1795.

"I am engaged in computing the constant coefficients for the perturbations of Neptune on your hypothesis of  $(2n^{\text{VII.}} - n^{\text{VI.}}) = 0$ , or in other words of the applicability of the Laplacian libration first pointed out by yourself. As far as I am at present informed, the near approach of this expression to 0 was first noticed by ourselves, on the occasion of your visit to Washington, on the 25th of February last.

"A glance at the configurations of the planets for the last two or three years would serve to indicate that while Saturn and Uranus are still increasing the term  $\frac{1}{t} \Sigma \delta (n t)$ , Jupiter has produced a tide (if I may use the phrase) which has not yet subsided, and which, added to the action of the other two, may have increased the disturbed daily motion  $0''.32$  above the pure elliptic value. In this case, your period is established.

"Both hypotheses, that of the identity of the star and planet, and of the libration of Neptune's year round the double of that of Uranus, are now rendered so probable by conclusions from direct observations, that nothing but a rigorous computation of the perturbations of Neptune can throw any farther light on the subject at present. I shall look with anxiety for the publication of your researches on this subject.

"Yours truly,

"SEARS C. WALKER."

After reading this letter, Professor Peirce remarked that Mr. Walker's discovery of the identity of Neptune and the star of Lalande was indisputably confirmed by an examination which Mr. Mauvais of the Paris Observatory had made into the orig-

inal manuscripts of Lalande, at the request of Leverrier. He had found that the doubtful marks of the printed copy were not contained in the original record; and that there was an observation of the planet of May 8, 1795, which was not published. More than fifty years ago, then, Lalande had in his possession observations enough of Neptune to have discovered it; and he could not have failed to make this discovery if he had taken reasonable pains to satisfy himself as to the discrepant character of the observations by a new comparison with the stars.

Professor Peirce stated that he had compared the observation of May 8, 1795, with Mr. Walker's orbit, and found it to be perfectly consistent with the slight changes which are required to satisfy the observation of May 10, 1795. Mr. Walker's orbit cannot, therefore, differ much from the exact orbit, and there can be no important error in adopting it as the basis of further research. The period is very near the double period of Uranus, but yet it seems to differ too much from this double period to admit of the establishment of a libration about that period. The principal effect of Neptune upon Uranus must, in case of the failure of this double period, be exhibited in the manifestation of an equation of the centre different from that which belongs to the proper elliptic motion, so that Uranus will have two equations of the centre, one of which will belong to its ellipse, and the other to the attraction of Neptune.

James D. Dana, Esq., Corresponding Member of the Academy, presented (through the Corresponding Secretary) a paper comprising brief characters of the Crustaceæ collected in the United States Exploring Expedition under Captain Wilkes, as follows:—

*Conspectus Crustaceorum, in orbis terrarum circumnavigatione, C. Wilkes e classe Reipublicæ Fœderatæ duce, collectorum auctore*  
J. D. DANA.\*

\* *Conspectus narrationis uberioris auctore auctoritate publicâ edendæ.*

## Pars I. — CRUSTACEA COPEPODA (CYCLOPACEA\*).

## Familia I. CYCLOPIDÆ.

*Oculi* duo simplices tantum. *Palpi* mandibulorum maxillarumque breves aut obsoleti. *Sacculi ovigeri* duo.

## Genus I. CYCLOPS.

*Antennæ maris anticæ* subcheliformes aut articulo geniculante instructæ.

1. CYCLOPS BRASILIENSIS. — C. cephalo-thorace posticè obtuso, abdomen longitudine superante; antennis anticis in utroque sexu elongatis (cephalo-thorace longioribus), articulis primo secundoque majoribus et setis oblongis apice instructis, setis antennarum aliis brevibus; antennis *maris* 7-articulatis, articulis tribus basalibus crassissimis, reliquis teretibus, *femina*, 14-articulatis, teretibus; stylis caudalibus

\* Cyclopaceorum organa sunt: —

*Cephalo-thorax* 4-7-articulatus. *Abdomen* 1-6-articulatum, carapace non tectum.

*Frons* sæpissimè rostrata, rostro aut simplice, aut furcato, aut transversim emarginato, aut appendicibus instructo.

*Oculi* duo simplices, pigmento aut connati aut disjuncti; quoque quibusdam, oculi duo coaliti sub capite insistentes; aliis, oculi lenticulis duobus grandibus, uno oblato, uno prolato, constructi.

*Antennæ anticæ* 4-28-articulatæ, aut simplices, aut appendiculatæ; *posticæ*, 2-5-articulatæ et sæpe ramum ferentes, aliis apice setigeræ, aliis subcheliformes.

*Mandibulæ* apice dentatæ, sæpius palpigeræ.

*Maxillæ* duæ setosæ; sæpe palpigeræ, palpo sive parvulo et vix discernendo, sive setas diffusas ferente

*Maxillipedes* duo, aliis parvi et parcius setigeri, aliis crassiores et valde setigeri, setis spinulosi.

*Pedes antici* duo simplices, aut obsolescentes, aut elongati, aliis setigeri setis non spinulosi, aliis subcheliformes.

*Pedes biremes* decem; octo anteriores sæpius natatorii, sed duo antici interdum subprehensiles; duo posteriores plurimum obsoleti aut parvuli; in quibusdam masculinis pergrandes et uno ambove prehensiles.

Abdomini pertinentes ad basin sæpissimè *pedes spurii*, sive obsolescentes, sive oblongi et setis armati; ad extremum, styli caudales duo, unusquisque 4-6 setis plerumque plumosis instructus.

Ad segmentum cephalo-thoracis *septem*-articulati primum, antennæ quatuor pertinent; ad secundum, mandibula, maxillæ, et maxillipedes; ad tertium, pedes quatuor antici; (cephalo-thorace *quadri*-articulato, hæc tota ad segmentum anticum pertinent;) ad segmenta sequentia, singulatim, duo pedes biremes.



oblongis, tres articulos abdominis ultimos simul sumtos fere æquantibus, setâ secundâ \* fere abdominis longitudine, primâ dimidio brevior.

*Hab.* Rio Janeiro.

2. *CYCLOPS CURTICAUDUS*. — *C. femina* cephalo-thorace posticè obtuso, abdomen longitudine valde superante; antennis anticis dimidio cephalo-thorace valde longioribus, 13–14-articulatis, articulis brevibus, quinque basalibus non oblongis; setis antennarum † inæqualibus, *posterioribus* articularum penultimi et præantepenultimi longioribus (quatuor articulos ultimos simul sumtos longitudine æquantibus), *anterioribus* perbrevibus; stylis caudalibus prælongis, dimidio abdomine vix brevioribus, setis curtis, secundâ tertiâque subæquis et stylo paulo longioribus.

*Long.*  $\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{10}$ ". — *Hab.* Valparaiso, Chile.

3. *CYCLOPS PUBESCENS*. — *C.* cephalo-thorace pubescente, abdomen longitudine vix superante, posticè subacuto; antennis anticis *femina* dimidii cephalo-thoracis longitudine, 8–9-articulatis, setis totis brevibus; antennis *maris* brevioribus, tribus articulis basalibus curtis, quarto crassissimo subovato, dimidii antennæ longitudine, ultimo (forsan duplice) tenui brevique, digitiformi; stylis caudalibus abdomine quadruplo brevioribus, setâ secundâ abdomine longiore, primâ brevissimâ.

*Long.*  $\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{4}$ ". — *Hab.* Valparaiso, Chile.

4. *CYCLOPS MACLEAYI*. — *C. femina* cephalo-thorace abdomine valde longiore; antennis anticis longis (cephalo-thoracem æquantibus), ad basin paulo crassioribus, articulo secundo oblongo, 5–6 sequentes brevissimos simul sumtos longitudine fere æquante, 10 reliquis paulum oblongis, septimo longiore, setis articuli secundi et septimi parum elongatis, duorum subultimorum † totis brevibus, ultimi articulum longitudine vix superantibus; stylis caudalibus tenuibus, duos articulos abdominis longitudine æquantibus, setâ secundâ abdomine brevior, primâ fere styli longitudine.

*Long.*  $\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{4}$ ". — *Hab.* in vicin. Sydney, N. S. W.

\* Setarum caudalium interior est nobis *prima*, et sequentes ordine, *secunda*, *tertia*, et cet.

† Setæ antennarum plerumque valent ad species distinguendum, et præcipuè illæ articularum ultimarum. Articulos 2, 3, aut 4, ultimum præcedentes, *subultimos* sæpe vocamus; et eorum setæ, *anteriores* et *posteriores*, scrutandæ et comparandæ.

5. *CYCLOPS VITIENSIS*. — *C. femina* cephalo-thorace posticè fere obtuso, abdominem longitudine vix superante, nudo; antennis anticis longis, cephalo-thoracis longitudine, multi-articulatis, articulo primo crasso, oblongo, secundo dimidio minore, 6 sequentibus perbrevibus; setis antennarum inæqualibus, articularum primi secundique paulo longioribus, ultimi et 3 subultimorum posterioribus subæqualibus, articulo suoque paulo longioribus, setis anterioribus subultimorum perbrevibus; stylis oblongis, vix duorum articularum abdominis longitudine, setâ secundâ abdomine paulo longiore.

*Long.*  $\frac{1}{24}$ ". — *Hab.* in Venua Lebu, ad Insulas Viti.

#### Familia II. — HARPACTIDÆ.

*Oculi* duo simplices tantum. *Palpi* mandibulorum maxillarumque parvuli, aut obsoleti, setis diffusis non instructi. *Sacculus ovigerus* unicus. *Antennæ posticæ* setis habitu digitorum apice instructæ.

##### Genus I. HARPACTICUS. *Milne Edwards.*

*Frons* subrostrata, appendicibus nullis. *Antennæ anticæ maris* subcheliformes, aut articulo geniculante instructæ; *femina* basi 2-5 articulata et quasi curto flagello sæpius minutè 5-articulato compositæ, apice basis appendicem brevem ferentes. *Cephalo-thorax* 4-articulatus. *Pedes antici* subcheliformes mediocres.

SYN. — Arpacticus, et Cyclopsina partim (*C. castor*, excluso), *M. Edwards.* — Nauplius, *Philippi.* — Canthocarpus, *Westwood.* — Doris, *Koch.* — Canthocarpus et Arpacticus, non Cyclopsina, *Baird.*

1. *HARPACTICUS VIRESCENS*. — *H.* cephalo-thorace ovato, anticè rotundato et breviter rostrato, segmentis posticè non acutis, abdomine paululum subito angustiore et posticè sensim decrescente, 5-articulato; antennis anticis brevibus, dimidii cephalo-thoracis longitudine, 9-articulatis, articulis basalibus quatuor, crassiusculis, secundo maximo, setis perbrevibus; pedibus anticis parvis, digito dimidii articuli secundi longitudine; stylis caudalibus brevissimis, paulum divaricatis, setâ secundâ corporis longitudine, primâ tertiâque subæquis abdomine valde brevioribus.

*Long.*  $\frac{1}{26}$ ". — *Hab.* Madeira, in litora insulæ.

2. *HARPACTICUS CONCINNUS*. — *H. femina* cephalo-thorace longè ovato, segmentis posticè acutis; abdomine subito paulum angustiore, lato, lateribus bene recto, 6-articulato, parce decrescente, articulo

primo brevissimo ; antennis anticis brevibus, 9-articulatis, articulis basalibus quatuor, attenuatis, setis brevibus, apice paulum longis (flagellum longitudine æquantibus); pedibus anticis parvis, articulo secundo infra obtuso-angulato et digitum longitudine duplo superante ; stylis caudalibus brevissimis, parum divaricatis, setâ secundâ corpore paulum brevior, tertiâ fere dimidio minore, reliquis brevissimis.

*Long.*  $\frac{1}{20}$ ". — *Hab.* in mare Pacifico prope Valparaiso.

3. *HARPACTICUS SACER*. — H. cephalo-thorace ovato, anticè subdeltoideo, segmentis posticè obtuso, dimidio longitudine latiore ; abdomine subito multo angustiore, et brevior quam cephalo-thorax, 6-articulato, articulo primo brevi ; antennis anticis brevibus, *femina* 9-articulatis, articulis basalibus quatuor, setis totis brevibus, *maris* articulo quinto (6?) crassissimo, subovato, margine anteriore subrecto, digito 2-articulato duabus setis minutis ad apicem instructo ; pedibus anticis parvis digito tenui, largè dimidii articuli secundi longitudine ; stylis caudalibus brevissimis, parum divaricatis, setâ secundâ corporis longitudine, tertiâ dimidio brevior, primâ perbrevis.

*Long.*  $\frac{1}{16}$ ". — *Hab.* in litora ad Valparaiso.

4. *HARPACTICUS LINEARIS*. — H. corpore fere lineari, abdomine non angustiore, posticè parum attenuato ; antennis anticis brevissimis, 7-articulatis, articulis basalibus duobus crassissimis, primo majore, secundo perbrevis, setis totis brevibus ; stylis caudæ styliformibus, articulo abdominis ultimo longioribus, parum divaricatis, setâ secundâ longitudine fere dimidii corporis.

*Long.*  $\frac{1}{20}$ ". — *Hab.* in mari, ad Insulas Viti.

5. *HARPACTICUS ROSEUS*. — H. corpore fere lineari, abdomine non angustiore, antennis perbrevibus et tenuissimis, basi non crassioribus, setis totis brevibus ; stylis caudalibus brevibus, non divaricatis, setâ secundâ corpore longior, spinulosâ.

*Long.*  $\frac{1}{30}$ ". — *Hab.* in mari Sulu.

6. *HARPACTICUS ACUTIFRONS*. — H. *maris* cephalo-thorace angustè elliptico, anticè acuto, posticè obtuso ; abdomine subito angustiore, 6-articulato, posticè valde attenuato, articulo ultimo angustissimo ; antennis anticis brevibus, 3 articulis basalibus non oblongis, tertio minimo, quarto crassissimo et cylindrico prope dimidii antennæ longitudine, quinto (forsan duplice), digitiformi, parvulo ; antennis juxta basin et ad apicem breviter setigeris ; stylis caudalibus minutis non divaricatis, setâ dimidio corporis parum longior, strictè appressâ, nudâ.

*Long.*  $\frac{1}{4}$ ". — *Hab.* in mari prope Tierra del Fuego.

Genus II. CLYTEMNESTRA. (*Dana*.)

*Frons* subrostrata, appendicibus nullis. *Antennæ anticæ* flexiles; *maris*, non subcheliformes. *Pedes antici* permagni, subcheliformes.

Obs. Non *Arpacticus* Bairdii: *Cyclops chelifer* Arpacticis pertinet. Magnitudo pedium anticorum character genericum non bene validum, nisi pergrandes, quoque pro antennis geniculatis in coitu usitati sunt; ideoque est antennæ *maris* Clytemnestræ non subcheliformes.

CLYTEMNESTRA SCUTELLATA. — C. rostro subacuto; cephalo-thoracis segmento antico lato, posticè utrinque dilatato, tribus segmentis sequentibus subito angustioribus margine posteriore valde arcuatis et lateribus posticè productis et subacutis; abdomine 6-articulato, articulis subæquis, decreascentibus; antennis anticis elongatis 8 (9 ?)-articulatis, articulo quinto (sexto ?) arcuato, sequente oblongo et apice cum appendice instructo (?), reliquis tribus oblongis; setis longis divaricatis, duabus apicalibus fere antennæ longitudine; pedibus anticis pergrandibus, articulo secundo subclavato, digito tenui arcuato fere articuli secundi longitudine.

*Long.*  $\frac{1}{24}$ ". — *Hab.* in mari Pacifico, ad lat. 18° S., long. 124° W.; etiam at Insulas Kingsmills; in mari Sinense.

Genus III. SETELLA. (*Dana*.)

*Corpus* angustissimum fere lineare, anticè attenuatum et subacutum, et fronte appendices duas parvulas falciformes subtus gerens. *Antennæ anticæ* flexiles, appendice brevi instructæ, setis brevibus; *maris* non subcheliformes. *Pedes antici* mediocres aut parvi. *Pedes proximè sequentes* lateraliter porrecti, apice breviter setigeri. *Pedes abdominis* elongati et longè setigeri. *Setæ caudales* duæ longissimæ, (in speciebus scrutatis corpore valde longiores, spinulosæ, et strictè appressæ,) reliquæ brevissimæ. (Tubum cibarium sæpius læte rubrum.)

1. SETELLA TENUICORNIS. — S. antennis anticis fere corporis longitudine, articulis duobus basalibus valde crassioribus, secundo oblongo, reliquis teretibus gracillimis, tertio longissimo, quarto cum appendice instructo; ramis pedis biremis antici subæquis, longiore 3-articulato, articulis fere æquis; pedibus abdominis cum 5–6 setis elongatis subæquis instructis; setis caudalibus corpore fere duplo longioribus.

*Long.*  $\frac{1}{15}$ " setis caudalibus exclusis. — *Hab.* in mari Atlantico meridionali.

2. *SETELLA LONGICAUDA*. — *S. maris* (?) antennis anticis basi non crassioribus, 7-aut 8-articulatis, articulo quarto paululum arcuato (positice convexo) et cum appendice instructo, tertio fere duplo longiore quam quartus aut secundus; ramo longiore pedis biremis antichi 3-articulato, articulo primo valde brevissimo; pedum abdominis ramo exteriori brevissimè setigero, interiore duabus setis spinulosis instructo, apicem abdominis fere attingentibus; setis caudalibus corpore largè duplo longioribus.

*Long.*  $\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{4}$ " — *Hab.* in mari Atlantico meridionali.

3. *SETELLA GRACILIS*. — *S. feminae* antennis anticis gracillimis usque ad basin, rectis, inter sese prope  $130^{\circ}$  divaricatis, articulo primo obsoleto, secundo quartum æquante et dimidio tertio longiore, quarto non arcuato; digito pedis antichi dimidio articulo secundo longiore; setis caudalibus fere duplo corpore longioribus.

*Long.*  $\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{4}$ " — *Hab.* in mari Pacifico juxta insulas Kermadec et Tonga.

4. *SETELLA CRASSICORNIS*. — *S. maris* (?) antennis anticis crassioribus, rectis, inter sese  $130^{\circ}$  divaricatis, articulo primo obsoleto, secundo tertioque brevibus, quarto appendiculato, hoc etiam sexto ultimoque tertium longitudine duplo superante; digito pedis antichi dimidii articuli secundi longitudine; setis caudalibus prope sesqui corporis longitudine.

*Long.*  $\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{4}$ " — *Hab.* in mari Sinense.

5. *SETELLA ACICULUS*. — *S. feminae* antennis crassiusculis fere rectè divaricatis, ad basin paulum curvatis, articulo primo perbrevis, secundo quartum longitudine æquante et longiore quam tertii dimidium; pedis antichi digito dimidii articuli secundi longitudine; setis caudalibus sesqui corporis longitudine.

*Hab.* in mari Indico, prope Fretum Sundæ.

#### DONATIONS TO THE LIBRARY,

FROM DECEMBER, 1846, TO MAY, 1847.

*Prof. S. Kutorga.* Naturgeschichte der Infusionsthier. 8vo. pamph. and Atlas. 4to. St. Petersburg, 1841. From Ch. Cramer, Esq.

*Ch. Cramer.* Etwas über die Natur-Wunder in Nord-America Zusammengetragen. 8vo. pamph. St. Petersburg, 1840. From the Author.

Fourth Bulletin of the National Institute for the Promotion of Science. 8vo. pamph. Washington, 1846. From the Institute.

Astronomical Observations made during the Year 1845 at the National Observatory, Washington. Vol. I. 4to. Washington, 1846. From the Observatory.

Silliman's American Journal of Science. Vol. III., No. 7, for Jan., 1847. From the Editors.

*W. C. Redfield.* On three several Hurricanes of the Atlantic, and their Relations to the *Northers* of Mexico and Central America, with Notices of other Storms. 8vo. pp. 118. New Haven, 1846. From the Author.

*Maj. James D. Graham.* Observations on the Magnetic Dip at several Positions, made in 1840, 1842, 1843, 1844, 1845. (Extr. from American Philos. Trans.) 4to. pamph. Philadelphia, 1846. From the Author.

*Dr. Barratt.* Report on the Season of 1846, to the Middlesex County Agricultural Society. 8vo. pp. 14. Middletown, Conn., 1846. From the Author.

*Dr. Barratt.* Geology of Middletown and Vicinity (Sentinel and Witness extra). Middletown, Conn., July 30, 1846. From the Author.

*Dr. A. A. Gould.* Expedition Shells. 8vo. pp. 25 - 32. Boston, 1846. From the Author.

*Prof. E. N. Horsford.* Chemical Essays relating to Agriculture. 12mo. Boston, 1846. From the Author.

*Prof. E. N. Horsford.* Untersuchungen über Glycocolle und einige seiner Zersetzungsproducte. 12mo. pp. 57. Giessen, 1846.

*W. C. Bond, W. C. Bond, Jr., and G. P. Bond.* Occultations and Eclipses observed at Dorchester and Cambridge, Mass. (Extr. Mem. Amer. Acad.) 4to. pp. 8. 1846. From the Authors.

*Asa Gray.* Chloris Boreali Americana. Illustrations of new, rare, or otherwise interesting North American Plants. Decade I. 4to. (Extr. Mem. Amer. Acad., Vol. III.) From the Author.

*Wm. S. Sullivant.* Contributions to the Bryology and Hepaticology of North America. Part I. 4to. (Extr. Mem. Amer. Acad. Vol. III.) From the Author.

*Charles Holtzapffel.* Turning and Mechanical Manipulation. 2 vols. 8vo. London, 1846. From the Author.

*Charles Holtzapffel.* On a Scale of Geometrical Equivalents for Engineering, &c. 8vo. pamph. London, 1838. From the Author.

*Charles Holtzapffel.* A New System of Scales of equal Parts, ap-

plicable to various Purposes of Engineering, &c. 8vo. London, 1838.  
From the Author.

Mémoires de l'Academie Imperiale de St. Petersbourg, Tom. VI. and VII. (Sciences Mathematiques, IV., liv. 2<sup>de</sup> ; and Sciences Naturelles, Tom V., livr. 3<sup>me</sup> and 4<sup>me</sup>). 1845-46.

Mémoires présentés à l'Acad. Imp. Sci. St. Petersbourg, par divers Savans, Tom V., liv. 1-6, and Tom. VI., 1<sup>me</sup> livraison. 1844-46.  
From the Imperial Academy.

Silliman's American Journal of Science and Art. 2d Series, III. 8.  
for March, 1847. From the Editors.

Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London for 1846.  
Parts 1, 2, 3. From the Royal Society.

*Prof. Challis.* Special Report of Proceedings in the Observatory (St. Catherine's Hall Lodge) relative to the New Planet. Dec. 12th, 1846. 4to. pp. 8. From the Author.

*J. C. Adams.* An Explanation of the Observed Irregularities in the Motion of Uranus, on the Hypothesis of Disturbances by a more distant Planet. (From the Appendix to the Nautical Almanac, for 1851.) 8vo. pp. 32. London. From the Author.

Proceedings of the Royal Astronomical Society. Vol. VII. No. 9.  
Nov., 1846. 8vo. pp. 16. From the Society.

*Daniel Appleton White.* Eulogy on John Pickering, LL. D., delivered before the Academy. 8vo. pp. 106. Boston, 1847. From the Author.

The Royal Geographical Society and its Labors. 8vo. pamph.  
pp. 32. London, 1846.

Fifteenth Annual Report of the Council of the United Service Institution, London. 8vo. pamph. pp. 52. 1846. From the Royal Geographical Society.

Maps of New Haven Harbour and Little Egg Harbour, made under the Direction of the Superintendent of the United States Coast Survey. 2 sheets. From the Superintendent.

Transactions of the Linnean Society of London. Vol. XX., Part 1st. 4to. London, 1846. From the Linnean Society.

Proceedings of the Linnean Society of London. 8vo. pp. 1-286.  
London, 1838-46. From the Linnean Society.

*A. H. Everett.* Critical and Miscellaneous Essays. Second Series. 8vo. Boston, 1846. From the Author.

*N. B. Ward, F. L. S.* On the Growth of Plants in closely glazed Cases. 8vo. London, 1842. From the Author.